2022 Updates to CLSI M100



Erik Munson Marquette University Wisconsin Clinical Laboratory Network Laboratory Technical Advisory Group

The presenter states no conflict of interest and has no financial relationship to disclose relevant to the content of this presentation.

OUTLINE

- I. Quick literature reviews relative to major revisions
- II. Objectives of webinar

Describe significant changes relevant to preexisting antimicrobial susceptibility breakpoints...

Describe significant changes relevant to antimicrobial susceptibility testing methodology...

Identify (new) organism/antimicrobial combinations for which susceptibility breakpoints now exist...

as outlined in the CLSI M100-Ed32 document.





FUTZING WITH THIS...A LITTLE



FUTZING WITH THIS...MORE C O A Network | er mati-SPDF A^{ts} 1/8 🔩 G Ats 20 🛓 (Natayoong 3) ... × f formance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, 32nd Edition 145347569819001144514679091222244563782930 22 33 44 8 行業等於有力相身關地卻透神師的規模與時間認識的調整的 ъ Æ When on "Page by Page" view Ē 362 pages ± 34

FUTZING WITH THIS...MORE





What's (really exciting and) New?



												Ente	Table 2A robacterales 72 and M07
able 2A. I	: 8	bacterales (Continued	Inte	e Diamete			Int	MIC B	Categoria eakpoints, g/mL			
Group		Agent	Content		500	1			500	100	a. A		amments
B	Merope vaborb	nem-	20/10 µg	±18	÷	15-17*	1.14	14/8		8/8*	216/8	(20) Breakpoints are based on a dosa regimen of 4 g every 8 h administere over 3 h.	
1	Piperacillin- Lazobactam		100/10 µg 225 21-24		s 20		x 8/4	16/4		a 32/4	(21) Breakpoints for susceptible are based on a dosage regimen of 3.375-4.5 g administered every 6 h a a 30-minute influion. Breakpoints for 500 are based on a dosage regimen o 4.5 g administered every 6 h as a 3-h influsion or 4.5 g administered every 6 h as a 4-h influsion.		
		Pipera	cillin-	tazo	bact	am I	Prev	ious	F	Pipera	acillir	1-tazobad	tam New
Metho	bd	d S I R S			SDD	R							
BMC)	≤ 16	6	32-	-64		≥ 12	28		≤ 8		16	≥ 32
DD	DD ≥ 21		1	18-20			≤ 17			≥ 25		21-24	≤ 20



WHEN NEEDED?

MICROBIOLOGY SAYS:

Significant MIC/disk diffusion discordance when testing recent clinical isolates

Changes to CLSI-approved reference methods

Recognition of a new resistance mechanism

J. Clin. Microbiol. 57:e00203-19

WHEN NEEDED?

PHARMACOLOGY SAYS:

New PK/PD data indicate existing breakpoint too high/low

Recognition that antimicrobial dosage regimens used in widespread clinical practice differ substantially from dosage regimens used to establish previous breakpoints

Introduction of new formulations of antimicrobial agents, resulting in different PK characteristics

New data emerge to demonstrate the previous breakpoints were not optimal for common uses of antimicrobial agent

J. Clin. Microbiol. **57:**e00203-19

WHEN NEEDED?

THE BOTTOM LINE SAYS:

Specific public health need not addressed previously

Differences between CLSI and other regulatory organizations

New data demonstrate poor prediction of clinical response using previous breakpoints WHY NEEDED?

Recognition of a new resistance mechanism

New PK/PD data indicate existing breakpoint too high/low

New data demonstrate poor prediction of clinical response using previous breakpoints

J. Clin. Microbiol. **57:**e00203-19

CLSI rationale document MR14

 CLSI voluntary consensus process
Members (clinical, industry, government) Advisors Observers (public)
 Subcommittee on antimicrobial susceptibility test
<i>In vitro</i> data Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD)
Clinical studies
 Establish AST methods, breakpoints (M100, M45 quality control ranges

THINGS HAPPEN OVER 30 YEARS











β-lactamases less inhibited

SHV

TEM CTX-M

OXA-1







19



OXA-30

CLSI rationale document MR14

CLSI rationale document MR14



CLINICAL TRIAL (Study 1)

Study of ESBL bacteremia

378 patients

Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae S to meropenem and piperacillin-tazobactam 26 hospitals in 9 countries (mostly E. Hemisphere)

Non-inferiority study

Meropenem vs. piperacillin-tazobactam All cause 30-day mortality

JAMA 320: 984-994; 2018

CLINICAL TRIAL (Study 1)

12.3% mortality rate with piperacillin-tazobactam; 3.7% mortality with meropenem





SUSCEPTIBLE DOSE DEPENDENT

Intermediate

Approach attainable blood and tissue levels but have less clinical response than "susceptible" Also implies clinical efficacy in sites where agents are physiologically concentrated

 Susceptible dose dependent (multiple regimens) Implies that susceptibility of isolate is dependent on dosing regimen

Higher dose or more-frequent dosing results in higher drug exposure

CLSI M100; 29th ed.; 2019





THIS CAN GET A BIT HAIRY

Content current as of: 10/14/2021



Susceptibility Test Interpretive Criteria

The table below lists antibacterial drugs and indicates which, if any, susceptibility test interpretive criteria, also known as "breakpoints" (abbreviated as STIC), are recognized or identified by FDA for that drug.

With certain exceptions and additions, identified in the table, FDA recognizes the standard published in:

 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, 31st ed. CLSI supplement M100. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2021. (CLSI M100)

fda.gov/drugs/development-resources

~	rganism	Metho		Cipro	floxacin	Pr	evious	Cipr	ofloxac	in New	
	ryanishi	weind	Ju	S	1		R	S	I	R	
Enter	obacteriaceae	BMD		≤ 1	2		≥ 4	≤ 0.25	0.5	≥ 1	
<i>P.</i> (aeruginosa	BMD		≤ 1	2		≥ 4	≤ 0.5	1	≥ 2	
					Levofl	оха	icin Pre	vious	Levo	floxacir	۱Ne
Organism		m	Me	ethod	S		1	R	S	I	F
	Enterobacter	iaceae BN		aceae BMD		4		≥ 8	≤ 0.5 ≤ 1	1	≥
P. aeruginosa		osa	BMD		≤ 2		4	≥ 8		2	≥
		R		ECAL AND ORATORY INVITE					tion		
		M: Perf			itandards	for	Antimic	robial			

THIS CAN GET A BIT HAIRY









HERE'S AN	OTHER BIG	ONE
-----------	------------------	-----



auronsis health System, Fournelle, Ischana, LSA Ammer Culaw, Herris Ennemmer, Callmang UKA parenter at / Walcison, Indupen Robert Wood, Admon Nedolau School, New Burrunski, New amer, SMA parenter at / Panhulingen, al Undurekti, Moldskin, Robert Wood, Admons Medical School, New enterki, New York, School, Moldskin, Robert Mood, Admons Medical School, New enterki, New York, New J. Neuro, Editoria, USA souther Dagwords, Evacus, Neuro, AUGA

J. Clin. Microbiol. 56:e01678-17

OUTCOMES

Extended duration of hospitalization Increased patient mortality Increased cost of treatment

Clin. Infect. Dis. **36:** 1418-1423; 2003 Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. **52:** 113-122; 2005



43

THEY'VE BEEN TRYING THIS...I

Used ATCC *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, *S. aureus* in mock experiments to determine "optimal" inoculum for direct disk

"Prospective" [TSB aerobic (default); TSB anaerobic; Thiol] n = 116

Two drops (30 µL) directly onto Mueller Hinton (3 planes) Everything per NCCLS guidelines (18 hours incubation)

J. Clin. Microbiol. 9: 347-350; 1979

THEY'VE BEEN TRYING THIS...I TABLE 3. Distribution of discrepancies between direct and standardized susceptibility tests by antibiotic TABLE 2. Organisms included in the clinical comparison of the direct and standardized Die No. of strains Agree-ments Minor 22 12 3 Dis 390 130 67 No. of Ma-jor compai isons Mi-nor Total 8 Ampicillin Carbenicillin Cephalothin Chloramphen Clindamycin Colistin Erythromycin Gentamicin 116 4 (3.8)^a 1 9 91 116 25 91 25 116 116 25 25 91 116 4 (4.3) 4 14 3 0 4 0 1 1 16 (13.8) 2 6 (5.2) 1 26 0 6 (6.6) 24 9 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 (0.8) 1 (4.0) 3 (12.0) 0 27 Kanamycin Methicillin 0 0 2 36 16 Penicillin Streptomycin Tetracycline 9 (9.9) 8 (6.9) Major (0.9%): shift between sensitive and resistant 02 0 120 74 29 10 0 1 Minor (4.5%): shift between sensitive and intermediate shift between intermediate and resistant 10

J. Clin. Microbiol. 9: 347-350; 1979

THEY'VE BEEN TRYING THIS...II

Vol. 20, No. 5

ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS AND CHEMOTHERAPY, Nov. 1981, p. 696-698 0066-4804/81/110696-03\$02.00/0

Evaluation of a Direct Blood Culture Disk Diffusion Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test GARY V. DOERN+* DAVID R. SCOTT # ABDEL L. RASHAD. AND KENNETH S. KIM

UART V. DUENA," DAVID R. SOOTLE ROLL E. ROSINE, AND RENAELIT S. RIM Department of Clinical Patholic, Iniversity of Orogon Health Sciences Center, Portland, Oregon 87201 Received 10 April 1981/Accepted 6 August 1981

A total of 556 unique blood culture isolates of nonfastidious aerobic and facultatively anaerobic bacteria were examined by direct and standardized disk susceptibility test methods (4,234 antibiotic-organism comparisons). When discrepancies which could be accounted for by the variability inherent in disk diffusion susceptibility tests were excluded, the direct method demonstrated 96.8% overall agreement with the standardized method. A total of 1.6% minor, 1.5% major, and 0.1% very major discrepancies were noted.

Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 20: 696-698; 1981

THEY'VE BEEN TRYING THIS...II

"Prospective" (BBL aerobic and anaerobic bottles)

n = 556

Six drops (50 μ L) onto Mueller Hinton (GNR; GPC clumps) Six drops (50 μ L) onto Mueller Hinton w/blood (GPC chains)

Everything per NCCLS guidelines (16-18 hours incubation)

 Very Major Error:
 direct susceptible, reference resistant (false-susceptible)

 Major Error:
 direct resistant, reference susceptible (false-resistant)

 Minor Error:
 resistant ↔ intermediate ↔ susceptible

Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 20: 696-698; 1981

THEY'VE BEEN TRYING THIS...II

	No. of discrepancies" for: n = 171 n = 166									
Antibiotic	Gram-negat	n = 166 Streptococci ^e		Staphylococci and micrococci		= 219 Totals				
Kanamycin	0, 8, 66	(56.7)	NT		NT		0, 8, 66	(56.7)		
Gentamicin	0, 1, 3	(97.7)	NT		NT		0, 1, 3	(97.7)		
Tobramycin 🔶 🚽	0, 1, 5	(96.5)	NT		NT		0, 1, 5	(96.5)		
Amikacin	0, 4, 17	(87.7)	NT		NT		0, 4, 17	(87.7)		
Carbenicillin	0, 6, 14	(88.3)	NT		NT		0, 6, 14	(88.3)		
Ampicillin	1, 3, 12	(90.6)	0, 2, 11	(92.2)	NT		1, 5, 23	(91.4)		
Cephalothin	0, 4, 50	(68.5)	0, 0, 6	(96.4)	0, 0, 0	(100)	0, 4, 56	(89.2)		
Tetracycline	0, 1, 43	(74.3)	1, 5, 15	(87.4)	1, 2, 2	(97.7)	2, 8, 60	(87.4)		
Chloramphenicol	0, 1, 13	(91.8)	0, 0, 9	(94.6)	0, 3, 3	(97.2)	0, 4, 25	(94.8)		
Erythromycin	NT		1, 1, 9	(93.4)	0, 2, 3	(97.7)	1, 3, 12	(95.8)		
Clindamycin	NT		0, 0, 6	(96.4)	0, 2, 0	(99.1)	0, 2, 6	(97.9)		
Penicillin	NT		0, 2, 14	(90.4)	0, 5, 25	(96.3)	0, 7, 39	(88.1)		
Methicillin	NT		NT		1, 11, 6	(91.8)	1, 11, 6	(91.8)		
Totals	1, 29, 223	3 (83.6)	2, 10, 70	(92.9)	2, 25, 39	(95.7)	5, 64, 332	(90.5)		
v Major Erro	r: Maior F	=rror: N	<i>l</i> inor l	Error:	(perce	entage	conco	rdan		

THEY'VE BEEN TRYING THIS...III

Vol. 20, No. 3

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL MICROBIOLOGY, Sept. 1984, p. 473-477 0055-113784090473-05502.000 Copyright © 1984, American Society for Microbiology

45

Rapid Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Isolates from Blood Cultures by Direct Inoculation and Early Reading of Disk Diffusion Tests

MARIE B. COYLE.^{1,2}* LEE ANNE MCGONAGLE.³ JAMES J. PLORDE.⁴ CARLA R. CLAUSEN.⁵ and FRITZ D. SCHOENKNECHT³

SCHUENNNELTI¹⁷ Clinical Microbiology Divisian, University of Washington and University Hospital³ Seattle, Washington 98195; Harbarviser Medical Center, Seattle, Washington 98108⁴ Seattle Veterans Administration Medical Center, Seattle, Washington 98108⁴ and Childrens Orthopedic Hospital and Medical Center, Seattle, Washington 98105⁸ Revised 13 January 1944/Acented 34 May 1944

Disk diffusion tests, inoculated directly from positive blood enflueres, were evaluated for accuracy of reading zone dimensions with results from standard disk diffusion tests, the d-b results were in agreement for 8% of test with gramo-positive organisms and 64% of tests with gramo-goilve organisms and 64% of tests with gramo-goilve organisms and 64% of tests with gramo-engitive organisms and 64% of the test with gramo-engitive organisms and 64% of the test with gramo-engitive organisms. The fragmention of the strength engites of the test with gramo-engitive organisms and goil with the test with gramo-engitive organisms and goil with the test with gramo-engitive gramo-engitive engines. The fragmenties of m 30% of the tests with gramo-engitive organisms. The fragmenties of m 30% of the engites with gramo-engitive organisms. The fragmenties of m 30% of the engites with gramo-engitive organisms. The fragmenties of m 30% of the engites of the test with gramo-engitive organisms. The fragmenties of m 30% of the engites with gramo-engitive organisms. The fragmenties of m 30% of the engites of the engites

J. Clin. Microbiol. 20: 473-477; 1984



THEY'VE BEEN TRYING THIS...III

"Prospective" (aerobic and anaerobic bottles)

n = 403

Swab onto Mueller Hinton (GNR) Swab onto Mueller Hinton w/blood (GPC)

Everything else per NCCLS guidelines (except read at 4 hours, 6 hours)

 Very Major Error:
 direct susceptible, reference resistant (false-susceptible)

 Major Error:
 direct resistant, reference susceptible (false-resistant)

 Minor Error:
 resistant ↔ intermediate ↔ susceptible

J. Clin. Microbiol. 20: 473-477; 1984

THEY'VE BEEN TRYING THIS...III

Blood culture isolate	No. of	% Rea	% Read after:			
bioou culture isolate	isolates	4 h	6 h ^a			
Gram positive						
S. aureus	60	22	63			
Coagulase-negative staphylococci	87	3	21			
Beta-hemolytic streptococci	30	37	87			
Enterococci	21	19	52			
Pneumococci	21	10	38			
Viridans streptococci	14	0	0			
Fotal for gram						
positive	233	14	44			
Gram negative						
E. coli	84	52	85			
Klebsiella spp.	38	40	76			
Enterobacter spp.	12	42	92			
P. aeruginosa	11	0	64			
Others ^b	25	36	60			
Fotal for gram						
negative	170	43	78			

TABLE 2.	Discrep	ancies fro standardi		ests compare	d with
	No.	No	. of discrep	ancies	
Isolate type, time incubated	NO. of tests	Very major (%)	Major (%)	Minor (%)	Overall agreement (%)
Gram positive					
4 h	216	1 (0.5)	3 (1.4)	32 (14.8)	83.3
6 h	494	0	3 (0.6)	39 (7.9)	91.4
Overnight	1,307	1 (0.07)	8 (0.6)	65 (5.0)	94.3
Gram negative					
4 h	361	0	17 (4.7)	114 (31.6)	63.7
6 h	438	0	3 (0.7)	59 (13.5)	85.8
Overnight	762	0	6 (0.8)	73 (9.6)	89.6

J. Clin. Microbiol. 20: 473-477; 1984

	IMPETUS		MET	ЪН	DDS	
	Direct-from-Blood-Culture Disk Diffusion To Determine Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Gram-Negative Bacteria: Preliminary Report from the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Methods Development and Standardization Working Group	•	Single site Mock inoculation 0.5 McFarland adjusted 10 ² CFU inoculum BacT/Alert FA Plus Bactec Plus aerobic VersaTREK Redox 1	TABLE 1 Bab solate no. 15:05:01 15:05:02 15:05:04 15:05:04 15:05:04 15:05:04 15:05:04 15:05:04 15:05:04 15:05:04 15:05:04 15:05:04 15:05:12 15:05:12 15:05:12 15:05:13 15:05:17 15:05:19 15:05:19	Certail isolates used in this Species Abeliantia provennosies K presennosies K presennosies K presennosies Protecto mirobility Tetrobecter cliascose Echanobate Echanobate Calibabate Frundii Echanobate Calibabat	study" Resistance phenotype CRE NDM-11 CRE UPO- SESI (CTX-M-15) Websitype Resistant to cephologootins III, AmpC overexpression Wild type Resistant to cephologootins III, AmpC overexpression None Plasmal for AmpC CMY-2 Catabapenem resistant ES8I Catabapenem resistant FEGOOOgunidote resistant FEGOOOgunidote FEGOOOOUF FEGOOOUF FEGOOOOUF FEGOOOOUF FEGOOOUF FEGOOOUF FEGOOOOUF FEGOOOUF FEGOOOUF FEGOOOUF FEGOOOUF FEGOOOUF FEGOOOUF FEGOOOUF FEGOOOUF FEGOOOUF FEGOOUF FEGOOOUF FEGOOU
ful	I phenotypic approach may be desirable tle standardization; very few laboratories report	•	Pulled from instrument flagged; tested immedia			Animogiveoide resistant
	J. Clin. Microbiol. 56: e01678-17 ₅₁		J. Clin. Microb	oiol. 5	6: e01678-	17 ₅₂

METHODS (CONTINUED)

- Bottles subcultured for reference disk diffusion
- Bottle contents subjected to direct disk diffusion

4 drops onto Mueller Hinton via venting needle Swabbed in three directions 35°C ambient air; 6 and 18 hours

amikacin ceftriaxone ampicillin ciprofloxacin aztreonam ertapenem cefazolin gentamicin cefepime imipenem

in tigecycline tobramycin ceftazidime meropenem

minocycline

cline piperacillin-tazobactam mycin trimethoprim-sulfa zidime cefoxitin penem levofloxacin

amoxicillin-clavulate

53

• Broth microdilution (in-house) final adjudicator

J. Clin. Microbiol. 56:e01678-17

RESULTS

TABLE 3 Resolved performance of direct-from-blood-culture disk diffusion method at 18 h, by antibiotic

	No. c isolat			No. (%	No. (%) of:				
Drug	s	R	% CA	VME	ME	mE			
Amikacin	45	13	96.7	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (3.3)			
Amoxicillin-clavulanate	9	17	88.9	0 (0)	1 (11.1)	2 (7.4)			
Ampicillin	6	9	93.3	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (6.7)			
Aztreonam	21	28	94.3	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (5.7)			
Cefazolin	5	18	73.1	0 (0)	2 (40.0)	5 (19.2)			
Cefepime	41	17	91.7	0 (0)	0 (0)	5 (8.3)			
Cefoxitin	10	15	85.2	0 (0)	1 (10.0)	3 (11.1)			
Ceftazidime	25	31	89.8	0 (0)	0 (0)	6 (10.2)			
Ceftriaxone	16	29	87.5	0 (0)	2 (12.5)	4 (8.3)			
Ciprofloxacin	26	27	96.6	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (1.7)			
Ertapenem	22	12	83.3	0 (0)	0 (0)	7 (16.7)			
Gentamicin	39	18	95.0	0 (0)	1 (2.6)	2 (3.3)			
Imipenem	34	21	68.3	0 (0)	3 (8.8)	15 (25.0			
Levofloxacin	33	25	91.7	0 (0)	1 (3.0)	3 (5.0)			
Meropenem	37	19	84.7	0 (0)	1 (2.7)	8 (13.6)			
Minocycline	29	11	80.0	0 (0)	0 (0)	9 (20.0)			
Piperacillin-tazobactam	23	30	83.3	0 (0)	0 (0)	10 (16.7			
Tigecycline	35	3	87.2	0 (0)	0 (0)	5 (12.8)			
Tobramycin	39	17	93.2	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (6.8)			
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	17	30	95.8	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (4.2)			

J. Clin. Microbiol. 56:e01678-17

RESU	TT
I L'D U	

		No. (%)	of:		No. o isola			No. (%) a	f:	
Drug	% CA	VME	ME	Drug	s	R	% CA	VME	ME	mE
Amikacin	96.7	0 (0)	0 (0)	Amikacin	45	13	62.2	3 (23.1)	2 (4.4)	12 (26.)
Amoxicillin-clavulanate	88.9	0 (0)	1 (11.1)	Amoxicillin-clavulanate	9	17	60.0	0 (0)	1 (11.1)	9 (36.0)
Ampicillin	93.3	0 (0)	0 (0)	Ampicillin	6	9	69.2	0 (0)	1 (16.7)	3 (23.1)
Aztreonam	94.3	0 (0)	0(0)	Aztreonam	21	28	84.2	0(0)	1 (4.8)	5 (13.2
Cefazolin	73.1	0 (0)	2 (40.0)	Cefazolin	5	18	66.7	1 (5.6)	2 (40.0)	6 (25.0
Cefepime	91.7	0 (0)	0 (0)	Cefepime	41	17	75.6	0 (0)	4 (9.8)	6 (13.3
Cefoxitin	85.2	0 (0)	1 (10.0)	Cefoxitin	10	15	68.0	0 (0)	1 (10.0)	7 (28.0
Ceftazidime	89.8	0 (0)	0 (0)	Ceftazidime	25	31	65.9	0 (0)	4 (16.0)	11 (25.
Ceftriaxone	87.5	0 (0)	2 (12.5)	Ceftriaxone	16	29	77.3	0(0)	3 (18.8)	7 (15.9
Ciprofloxacin	96.6	0 (0)	0 (0)	Ciprofloxacin	24	27	57.1	0 (0)	1 (4.2)	16 (39.
Ertapenem	83.3	0 (0)	0 (0)	Ertapenem	22	12	73.7	0(0)	2 (9.1)	8 (21.1
Sentamicin	95.0	0 (0)	1 (2.6)	Gentamicin	39	18	95.6	0 (0)	0	2 (4.4)
mipenem	68.3	0 (0)	3 (8.8)	Imipenem	34	21	46.7	0 (0)	6 (17.6)	18 (40.
evofloxacin	91.7	0 (0)	1 (3.0)	Levofloxacin	33	25	75.6	0 (0)	1 (3.0)	10 (22.
Meropenem	84.7	0 (0)	1 (2.7)	Meropenem	36	19	52.3	0(0)	9 (25.0)	11 (25.
Minocycline	80.0	0 (0)	0 (0)	Minocycline	29	11	65.9	0 (0)	0	12 (29.
Piperacillin-tazobactam	83.3	0 (0)	0 (0)	Piperacillin-tazobactam	22	30	64.4	2 (6.7)	4 (18.2)	11 (25.
ligecycline	87.2	0 (0)	0 (0)	Tigecycline	35	3	45.7	0 (0)	3 (8.6)	16 (45.
Fobramycin	93.2	0 (0)	0 (0)	Tobramycin	39	17	95.6	0 (0)	0	2 (4.4)
Frimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	95.8	0 (0)	0 (0)	Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	17	30	86.4	1 (3.3)	2 (11.8)	3 (6.8)

Test Test method	Direct Disk Diffusion
Organism group	Enterobacterales and Pseudomonas aeruginosa
Organism group Medium	Enterobacteraics and Pseudomonas deruginosa
Antimicrobial concentration	Standard disk contents for the antimicrobials are detailed in Table 3E-2 (Enterobacterales) and Table 3E-3 (P. deruginoso)
Inoculum	Positive blood culture broth with gram-negative bacilli, used within 8 hours of flagging positive by the blood culture system
Test procedure	 Invert blood cutture bottle 3-10 times to thoroughly mix. Steritize the top of the bottle with an alcohot wipe (allow to dry) and insert 20-gauge venting needle into the blood culture bottle. Otipome 4 drops of blood culture broth onto an MVA plate. As a purity check, use an inoculated blood agar plate streaked for isolation. Spread blood culture broth arross the entire surface of the MVA plate using a sterile cutton svab. Repeat this procedure by streaking twice more, rotating the plate approximately 60 degrees each time to ensure an even distribution of inoculum. Leave the Id ajar for 3-3 minutes (Ideally) but no more than 15 minutes. Dispome antimicrobial alcies not the surface of the inoculated MVA plate. Press each disk down to ensure complete contact with the agar surface. Invect the plate and place in the incubater within 15 minutes of disks being applied.
Incubation conditions	35°C ± 2°C; ambient air
Incubation length Results	 10 hours or 16 18 hours Examine the blood agar purity plate to ensure pure growth. Examine the test plate to ensure confluent lawn of growth appropriate to read disk zone tests per M02.¹ Measure the zone dismeteris according to routide disk diffusion recommendations in M02.¹ Report results using the interpretive categories and zone diameter breakpoints in Table 3E-2 or Table 3E-3 if the arram-nearbier be accillated its confirmed to be an Enterobactenial or P. acromosci Accession. If Super S
Daily or we	identified as another organism, do not interpret or report results. weekly QC; E. coli ATCC 25922, P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853 CLSI M100-Ed32; 2022

Test/Report Group	Antimicrobial	Disk	Read Times,			ries and Zone learest whole		Comments
A	Ampicillin	10 µg	8-10 16-18	217	-	14-16	s 13	 (3) Results of ampicillin testing can be used to predict results for amoxicillin. (4) Breakpoints are based on an ampicillin dosage regimen of 2 g parenterally administered every 4-6 h an amoxicillin dosage regimen of 1-2 g parenterally administered every 6 h.
CEPHEMS (PA	RENTERAL) (Includin	cephalosp	ories I, II, III, and	IV. Please	refer to Glo	ssary L1		
	Ceftriaxone	30 ME	8-10	223		20-22	\$ 19	(5) Breakpoints are based on a dosage regimen of 1 g administered every 24 h
	1		16-18	223		20-22	\$19	
c	Ceftazidime	30 µg	8-10	≥21		18-20	s 17	(6) Breakpoints are based on a dosage regimen of 1 g administered every 8 h.
			16-18	≥21		18-20	\$17	
MONOBACTA								
c	Aztreonam	30 ME	8-10	≥ Z1		18-20	±17	(7) Breakpoints are based on a dosage regimen of 1 g administered every 8 h.
	denne -		16-18	221		18-20	\$17	and the same that the same statistical sectors are
AMINDGLYCO			8.10					53.
A .	Tobramycin	10 µg	8-10	ə 15		13-14	s 12	
			16-18	215		13-14	\$12	
	WAY ANTAGONISTS							
8	Trimethoprim- sulfamethoxazole	1.25/ 23.75 µg	8-10			•		
			16-18	116		11-15	s 10	ible-dose dependent.

od Cult		ter Disk i	Diffusion Br	еакротп	ts for Ps	eudomon	as aerug	ginosa Direct From
			G	eneral Con	nments			
function) o information antimicrob	n which breakpoint	s were deriv Jeases practi m.	ed. When new b tioners, pharma	reakpoints a cists, pharm	are impleme acy and the	ented, it is str	ongly recor	e (in adults with normal renal and hepatic mmended that laboratories share this rfection prevention committees, and the
TE: Informat	tion in boldface type	e is new or m	odified since th	Interpret	tive Catego	ries and Zone	Diameter	
est/Report	Antimicrobial	Disk	Read Times	Bre	akpoints, n	earest whole	mm	
Group	Antimicrobial Agent	Disk Content	Read Times, hours		SDO	earest whole	mm R	Comments
Group EPHEMS (PA)	Agent RENTERAL) (Includir	Content og cephalosp	hours orins I, II, III, and	5 t IV, Please	SDD refer to Glo	l Issary L.)		
Group	Agent	Content	hours		SDO			(3) Breakpoints are based on a dosage regimen of 1 g administered every 6 h or
Group EPHEMS (PA)	Agent RENTERAL) (Includir Ceftaziidime	Content og cephalosp	hours orins I, II, III, and 8-10	S I IV. Please	SDD refer to Glo	l ssary L) -	R	(3) Breakpoints are based on a dosage
Group EPHEMS (PA)	Agent RENTERAL) (Includir Ceftaziidime	Content og cephalosp	hours orins I, II, III, and 8-10	S I IV. Please	SDD refer to Glo	l ssary L) -	R	(3) Breakpoints are based on a dosage regimen of 1 g administered every 6 h or
Group EPHEMS (PA) A ARBAPEHEM B	Agent RENTERAL) (Includir Ceftazidime S Meropenem	Content ig cephalosp 30 µg	hours orins I, II, III, and 8-10 16-18	S I IV. Please	SDD refer to Glo	l ssary L) -	R - 5.14	 (3) Breakpoints are based on a dosage regimen of 1 g administered every 6 h or 2 g administered every 8 h. (4) Breakpoints are based on a dosage
Group EPHEMS (PAI A ARBAPENEM B MINDGLYCO	Agent RENTERAL) (Includin Ceftazidime S Meropenem SIDES	Content g cephalosp 30 µg 10 µg	Hours prins I, IL, III, and 8-10 16-18 8-10 16-18	5 1 IV. Please - 2 18 - 2 19	SDD nefer to Glo	1 15-17 - 16-18	R 5.14 5.15	 (3) Breakpoints are based on a dosage regimen of 1 g administered every 6 h or 2 g administered every 8 h. (4) Breakpoints are based on a dosage
Group EPHEMS (PAI A ARBAPEHEM B	Agent RENTERAL) (Includir Ceftazidime S Meropenem	Content ig cephalosp 30 µg	Hours orins I, H, HI, and 8-10 16-18 8-10 16-18 8-10	5 1V. Please - 2 18 - 2 19 2 15	SD0 refer to Glo	1 essary L.) - 15-17 - 16-18 13-14	R 5 14 - 5 15 5 12	 (3) Breakpoints are based on a dosage regimen of 1 g administered every 6 h or 2 g administered every 8 h. (4) Breakpoints are based on a dosage
Group EPHEMS (PAI ARBAPENEM B MINDGLYCO: A	Agent RENTERAL) (Includin Ceftazidime S Meropenem SIDES Tobramycln	Content g cephalosp 30 µg 10 µg	Hours prins I, IL, III, and 8-10 16-18 8-10 16-18	5 1 IV. Please - 2 18 - 2 19	SDD refer to Glo	1 15-17 - 16-18	R 5.14 5.15	 (3) Breakpoints are based on a dosage regimen of 1 g administered every 6 h or 2 g administered every 8 h. (4) Breakpoints are based on a dosage
Group EPHENS (PAI APBAPENEM B MINDGLYCOS A	Agent RENTERAL) (Includin Ceftazidime S Meropenem SIDES Tobramycin OLONES	Content sg cephalosp 30 µg 10 µg 10 µg	hours orins I, II, III, and 8-10 16-18 8-10 16-18 8-10 16-18	5 IV. Please 2 18 - 2 19 2 19 2 15 2 15	SD0 refer to Glo	15-17 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	R 5 14 - 5 15 5 12 5 12 5 12	 (1) Breakpoints are based on a dosage regime of 1 g administered every 6 h or 2 g administered every 8 h. (4) Breakpoints are based on a dosage regimen of 1 g administered every 8 h.
ARBAPENEM B MINDGLYCO	Agent RENTERAL) (Includin Ceftazidime S Meropenem SIDES Tobramycln	Content g cephalosp 30 µg 10 µg	Hours orins I, H, HI, and 8-10 16-18 8-10 16-18 8-10	5 1V. Please - 2 18 - 2 19 2 15	SD0 refer to Glo	1 essary L.) - 15-17 - 16-18 13-14	R 5 14 - 5 15 5 12	 (3) Breakpoints are based on a dosage regimen of 1 g administered every 6 h or 2 g administered every 8 h. (4) Breakpoints are based on a dosage

Table 3E-3 Zone Diameter Disk Diffusion Breakpoints for P. deruginoso Direct From Blood Culture

CLSI M100-Ed32; 2022



Other General Comments



ed to pe s 8 µg/ml nen of 1-2 g s & µg/n

CLSI M100-Ed32; 2022

Appendix E Dosage Regimens Used to Establ Susceptible Dore Dependent

lish Susceptible or

60

Appendix E. Dosage Regimens Used to Establish Susceptible or Susceptible-Dose Dependent Breakpoints

ing science of pharmacokinetics-pharmacodynamics has become increasingly important in recent years in determining minimal inhibitory bion (MC) breakpoints. Recently approved susceptible or susceptible-dose dependent; (500) breakpoints for a number of agent have been using regiment;), these dosage regiment; here dose are greater that the table leadow. Proper application of the breakpoints actions drug exposure at that corresponds to or exceed the expected systemic drug exposure at the dose listed in adult patients with normal renal function. This inf shared with pharmacits, infectious diseases taff, and others making dosing recommendations for the institution. ed on a site of

	DOSAGE COMMENT ADDITIONS	DOSAGE COMMENT ADDITIONS
ullet	Enterobacterales	 Enterococcus spp.
	ampicillin (IV, PO) amoxicillin-clavulanate (IV, PO) ampicillin-sulbactam cefazolin (uncomplicated UTI) imipenem-relebactam (for <i>Morganellaceae</i>)	penicillin (IV, PO) ampicillin (IV, PO) dalbavancin (VRE), oritavancin, tedizolid, telavancin
	piperacillin-tazobactam	Haemophilus influenzae, H. parainfluenzae
•	Pseudomonas aeruginosa ceftolozane-tazobactam	ampicillin (IV, PO) ampicillin-sulbactam amoxicillin-clavulanate ceftolozane-tazobactam
•	Staphylococcus aureus	 Streptococcus pneumoniae (non-CSF comments)
	dalbavancin, oritavancin, tedizolid, telavancin	amoxicillin amoxicillin-clavulanate
	CLSI M100-Ed32; 2022 61	CLSI M100-Ed32; 2022 62

DUSAGE	COMMENT ADDITIONS

• *Streptococcus* spp. β -hemolytic group

oritavancin, telavancin dalbavancin (only A, B, C), tedizolid (only A, B)

• Streptococcus spp. viridans group

oritavancin, telavancin dalbavancin, tedizolid only for *S. anginosus* group

Neisseria meningitidis	<u>Revisions</u>
ampicillin	N. gonorrhoeae/tetracycline Enterobacterales/ceftolozane-tazo
CLSI M10	00-Ed32; 2022

		CEFIDEROCOL
	•	Group B (primary test, report selectively); former lay.
		Enterobacterales Pseudomonas aeruginosa Acinetobacter spp. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia
		Breakpoint revisions
		Disk diffusion <i>Enterobacterales</i> (only the I and R) Disk diffusion <i>Acinetobacter</i> spp. (S only) Both formats <i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i> (S only)
	•	Dosage commentary
		Acinetobacter spp., Stenotrophomonas maltophilia
3		CLSI M100-Ed32; 2022 ₆₄

THE INTERMEDIATE COMMENT	β-LACTAM/β-LACTAMASE INHIBITOR
^ agents that have ability to concentrate in urine	"Organisms that test S to the β -lactam agent alone are also considered S to the β -lactam combination agent. However, organisms that test S to the β -lactam combination agent cannot be assumed to be S to the β -lactam agent alone."
(4) An intermediate (I) with a ^ in Tables 2 indicates agents that have the potential to concentrate in the urine. The I ⁺ is for informational use only. The decision to report I ⁺ is best made by each laboratory based on institution-specific guidelines and in consultation with appropriate medical personnel.	$\beta\text{-lactam}$ agent alone SDD, I, R \rightarrow may be S to $\beta\text{-lactam}$ combination agent
personnet.	Applies to
Enterobacterales Pseudomonas aeruginosa Enterococcus spp.	Enterobacterales Pseudomonas aeruginosa Acinetobacter spp. Other Non-Enterobacterales Haemophilus influenzae and H. parainfluenzae Anaerobes
	 Replaces imipenem-relebactam comment (in some)
CLSI M100-Ed32; 2022 65	CLSI M100-Ed32; 2022 66





	Phenotypie	Methods for Detection	of Methicillin (Oxaci	Ilin)-Resistant Stophylo	coccus spp.
				Oxacillin disk	
Organism	Cefoxitin MIC	diffusion	Oxacillin MIC	diffusion	Oxacillin salt agar
S. aureus	Yes (16-20 h)	Yes (16-18 h)	Yes (24 h)	No	Yes (24 h)
S. lugdunensis	Yes (16-20 h)	Yes (16-18 h)	Yes (24 h)	No	No
5. epidermidis	No	Yes (24 h)	Yes (24 h)	Yes (16-18 h)	No
5. pseudintermedius	No	No	Yes (Z4 h)	Yes (16-18 h)	No
5. schleiferi	No	No	Yes (24 h)	Yes (16-18 h)	No
Staphylococcus spp. (not listed above or not identified to the species level)	No	Yes* (24 h)	Yes* (24 h)	No	No
Staphylococcus a	<i>ureus</i> comple	ex: S. aur S. arg		[†] Report as complex (S perform S. a	. argenteus)"

TABLE 2G--H. influenzae, parainfluenzae

• Amoxicillin-clavulanate



Cumulative % of isolates inhibited at lefamulin MIC (µq/ml) of: una una <thuna< th=""> <thuna< th=""> una</thuna<></thuna<>														
Organism (no. of isolates)	≤0.008	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	>8	MIC _{so} (µg/ml)	MIC ₉₀ (µg/ml)
5. pneumoniae (3,923) Penicillin nonsusceptible, nonmeninaitis	0.1 0.0	1.8 1.1	11.4 7.9	55.1 64.0	93.7 98.4	99.6 100.0	99.9	100.0			_		0.06	0.12 0.12
($\geq 4 \ \mu g/ml$) (189) Ceftriaxone nonsusceptible ($\geq 2 \ \mu g/ml$) (155)	0.0	0.6	10.3	63.9	99.4	100.0			"S	″ C	nl	у	0.06	0.12
Erythromycin nonsusceptible (≥0.5 µq/ml) (1,348)	0.2	2.2	12.4	52.9	93.1	99.0	99.7	100.0					0.06	0.12
Levofloxacin nonsusceptible (≥4 µg/ml) (47)	0.0	8.5	23.4	68.1	89.4	97.9	97.9	100.0					0.06	0.25
MDR ^a (821) XDR ^a (181)	0.4 0.0	2.9 0.6	15.8 7.2	61.1 64.6	96.3 98.9	99.8 100.0	99.8	100.0					0.06 0.06	0.12 0.12
 aureus (2,919) Methicillin susceptible (1,981) Methicillin resistant (938) 			26.0 25.6 26.9	88.9 95.2 75.7	99.2 99.7 98.2	99.6 99.7 99.4	99.7 99.8 99.5	99.8 99.9 99.6	99.8 >99.9 99.7	99.8 >99.9 99.8	99.8 >99.9 99.8	100.0 100.0 100.0	0.06 0.06 0.06	0.12 0.06 0.12
H. influenzae (1,086) β-lactamase negative (835) β -lactamase positive (251)					1.7 1.9 1.2	20.4 20.0 21.9	69.4 67.5 75.7	93.8 93.2 96.0	99.1 98.9 99.6	99.9 100.0 99.6	100.0 100.0		0.5 0.5 0.5	1 1 1
M. catarrhalis (667)	1.0	2.4	11.1	88.3	99.9	100.0							0.06	0.12



SOME MIC	QC ADDITIONS/REVISION	NS
		\sim

E. coli ATCC 25922	imipenem imipenem-relebactam meropenem-nacubactam ceftibuten	
<i>E. coli</i> NCTC 13353	meropenem ceftibuten	
K. pneumoniae ATCC BAA-2814	ceftibuten	
K. pneumoniae ATCC BAA-1705	ceftibuten	
K. pneumoniae ATCC 700603	imipenem imipenem-relebactam	
A. baumannii NCTC 13304	meropenem	
E. faecalis ATCC 29212	gepotidacin ozenoxacin	
H. influenzae ATCC 49247	grepafloxacin	
N. gonorrhoeae ATCC 49226	gentamicin	
CLSI M100-E	132; 2022	76





MORE MIC QC ADDITIONS/REVISIONS	 THE END Keynote address Stewardship panel
S. aureus ATCC 29213 Bacteroides fragilis ATCC 25285 tebipenem Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron ATCC 29741 Clostridioides difficile ATCC 700057 Eggerthella lenta ATCC 43055	 Review of automated systems, antibiograms Surveillance
fidaxomicin Clostridioides difficile ATCC 700057 CLSI M100-Ed32; 2022	 CAP and CLSI WCLN honoree Free food; maybe more

