



### ACL – Molecular Microbiology Michael Costello, Ph.D. ACL Laboratories

Confidential- Internal Use Only

www.acllaboratories.com



### Advocate Health Care

### Largest Health System in IL

- 12 Hospitals (~3,360 Beds)
  - > 1 Integrated Children's Network
  - > 5 Level I Trauma
  - > 2 Level II Trauma
  - 4 Teaching
- Advocate Medical Group
- Advocate Physician Partners
- Dreyer Medical Group
- Advocate at Home (Home Care/Hospice)
- 34,000 Employees
- \$4.6 Billion Revenue



### Largest Health System in WI

- 15 Hospitals (~3,000 Beds)
  - > 1 Psychiatric

.

- 5 Level II Trauma
- 2 Teaching
- Aurora Medical Group
- Aurora Advanced Medical Group
- Aurora U.W. Medical Group
- Lakeshore Medical Group
- Aurora VNA (Home Care/Hospice)
- 30,000 Employees
- \$4.3 Billion Revenue



## **ACL Laboratories Profile**

- One of the largest hospital system laboratories in the US
- \$300M Annual Operating Expense Budget
- Provides services to 27 Hospitals; 2 Central Laboratories;110+ Clinics & Patient Service Centers (PSC)
- 2,700 Associates/Caregivers
- 90 Pathologists; Midwest Diagnostic Pathology (IL) and Great Lakes Pathologists (WI)
- 24M laboratory tests performed annually (50k a day)
- 5200+ Clients outside of our systems
- Couriers: 80+ vehicles, > 3.6 million miles annually
- Client Services handles ~1000 in-bound calls day



# **"Unique Molecular Test Challenges"**

Size

- $_{\circ}$  The Good
  - Spread cost over 27+ hospitals
- o The Bad
  - 27+ hospitals with unique testing requirements
- $_{\rm O}$  The Ugly
  - Getting two large healthcare systems (Aurora and Advocate) to agree on anything
    - $_{\odot}$  What testing is the most significant?
      - When
      - Where
  - Keeping everyone on the "same page"
    - o Which page?



### "Unique Challenges"

### Different patient populations

o Urban – Milwaukee Vs. Chicago

- Widest spectrum of pathogens
- Increased antibiotic resistance

MRSA, VRE, ESBLs (*shv*, *tem* Vs. CTX-M), CRE

 $\circ$  Suburban

Widest variation in pathogens and antibiotic resistance

 $\circ$  Rural

 Smallest variation in pathogens and antibiotic resistance



### Changing Pathogen Identifications in Microbiology

**TABLE 1** Viruses Detected by Conventional Virology (2004) vs. Molecular Methods (2013)

	% POSITIVE SPECIMENS*					
	2004	<b>2013</b> <sup>†</sup>	Comments			
Viruses						
Herpes simplex	22	21.4	2013 – HSV subtyped (HSV-1=11%; HSV-2 = 10.4%)			
Cytomegalovirus	4	14	2004 – Diagnosis; 2013 - diagnosis and monitoring			
Epstein Baar virus	N/A	11.3				
Enterovirus	10	2	2003 - throat, rectal, CSF; 2013- CSF only, yearly panel			
Varicella zoster	<1	14.3	2004 – vesicles only; 2013 vesicles, CSF, plasma and urine			
<b>Respiratory Viruses</b>						
Adenovirus	8	1.1	2013 Respiratory Viral Panel available throughout the year			
Influenza A	9	8.4	2013 Respiratory Viral Panel available throughout the year			
Influenza 2009 H1N1	N/A	3.4	2013 Respiratory Viral Panel available throughout the year			
Influenza B	4	2.9	2013 Respiratory Viral Panel available throughout the year			
Respiratory syncytial virus	31	7.4	2004 – seasonal; 2013 - RVP available throughout the year			
Human Matapneumovirus	N/A	3.4	2013 Respiratory Viral Panel available throughout the year			
Parainfluenza 1–3	10	2.9	2004 – seasonal; 2013 - RVP available throughout the year			
Parainfluenza 4	N/A	1.2	2013 Respiratory Viral Panel available throughout the year			
Coronavirus (4 serotypes)	N/A	2.0	2013 Respiratory Viral Panel available throughout the year			
Enterovirus/Rhinovirus	N/A	17.5	2013 Respiratory samples only. Available through the year			

Personal data, M. Costello and L. Mazur, Multiple hospitals, Chicago area and southeast Wisconsin.



# **Changing Workflow in Microbiology**

## Traditional workflow Vs. Syndromic Panels

oTraditional workflow

- Sequential traditional ordering of laboratory tests
  - oTakes time
  - $\circ$ Less sensitive
  - Can be more expensive than molecular syndromic panels



## Syndromic panels

 $_{\odot}\text{To}$  be performed as "stat" tests

- Meningitis-Encephalitis (ME) Panel
- Blood Culture Identification Panels
  - Molecular panel vs. MALDI-TOF
    - Ensure that someone acts on results
- $_{\odot}\text{2-24}$  hour turnaround time
  - Respiratory Panel
  - Gastrointestinal Panel



### **1-24 Hour Turnaround Time**



The FilmArray Pouch



www.acllaboratories.com

All PCR reactions are 3%







### **CNS Workup**





#### Confidential-Internal Use Only



# Arboviruses include West Nile Virus,

BGMK=buffalo green monkey cells; HDF=human diploid fibroblasts; PMK=primary monkey kidney, RD= Rhabdomyosarcoma cells



### **Traditional Culture Vs. Syndromic Panels**

- Traditional Culture Sequential/separate diagnostic tests
  - Culture/serology
    - Different tests for bacterial, viral and fungal pathogens

### - Meningitis-Encephalitis (ME) Panel

 $_{\odot}$  One test for viruses, bacteria and fungus

 $_{\circ}$  How will this panel be used?

- Triaging ED patients 1 hour TAT
  - HSV encephalitis admit and treat aggressively
  - Enteroviral meningitis Send home
  - Rapid diagnosis
    - Antibiotic therapy
      - Viral vs. bacterial



# **Meningitis/Encephalitis Panel**

# FilmArray<sup>™</sup> Meningitis/Encephalitis Panel

### 1 Test. 16 Targets. All in about an hour.

Currently in Development



### Bacteria

Escherichia coli K1 Haemophilus influenzae Listeria monocytogenes Neisseria meningitidis Streptococcus agalactiae Streptococcus pneumoniae



### Viruses

Cytomegalovirus (CMV) Enterovirus Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) Herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) Herpes simplex virus 2 (HSV-2) Human herpesvirus 6 (HHV-6) Human parechovirus Varicella zoster virus (VZV)



### Yeast

Cryptococcus gattii Cryptococcus neoformans







# **Respiratory Viral Panel**



ACL RESP PANEL - Nov 01 2015 - Feb 20 2016															
infA- Un Sub	InfA-H1	InfA-H3	2009 H1N1	Inf B	RSV	All Para	EV / Rhino	Metapn eumo	Adeno	All Corona	Total Pos	POS PCR	Total	ACL %FLU	US %FLU
46		3	6	2	46	1	33	24	6	9	176	57	451	12.6	n/a
35	0	0	5	0	61	2	24	22	10	16	175	40	454	8.8	12.0
25	0	2	1	0	62	4	36	15	9	13	167	28	435	6.4	9.1
17	0	0	1	0	41	1	27	15	6	17	125	18	371	4.9	6.8
4	0	0	1	0	35	3	31	10	13	8	105	5	352	1.4	5.0
3	0	0	0	0	31	3	39	11	13	22	122	3	369	0.8	4.2
3	0	0	1	0	43	9	51	12	16	9	144	4	396	1.0	3.0
1	0	0	0	1	32	6	48	12	6	9	115	2	300	0.7	1.8
3	0	0	0	0	22	7	44	2	2	6	86	3	270	1.1	2.5
2	0	0	0	1	26	7	54	9	8	4	111	3	316	0.9	2.9
0	0	0	1	0	8	14	36	5	8	1	73	1	287	0.3	1.7
0	0	0	1	0	9	6	44	3	3	2	68	1	244	0.4	1.6
2	0	0	0	0	2	9	45	0	7	1	66	2	230	0.9	1.5
1	0	1	0	0	4	19	49	1	9	0	84	2	363	0.6	1.1
0	0	0	2	0	4	19	57	1	11	0	94	2	443	0.5	1.6
0	0	0	2	0	0	12	50	3	6	1	73	2	359	0.6	1.2



## **Respiratory Panel**

How will this panel be used?
Expense Vs. Utility?
Influenza season?
Reflex test?

- $_{\circ}$  In-patients Vs. out-patients
  - Syndromic panels for in-patients?
- Admit or not to admit
- $_{\odot}$  Bacterial Vs. viral





### Cytopathic Effect (CPE) in tube culture





Hep-2, uninfected

RSV/Hep-2

Adenovirus/Hep-2

### Shell vial monolayers stained with FITC-conjugated monoclonal antibodies



R-Mix shell vial Influenza in mink lung



R-Mix shell vial Parainfluenza in mink lung



R-Mix shell vial RSV in A549



R-Mix shell vial Adenovirus in A549

### Patient respiratory cells stained with FITC-conjugated monoclonal antibodies



Influenza A NP aspirate



Parainfluenza NP aspirate



RSV NP aspirate



Adenovirus NP aspirate

Figure 5. Viral growth in cell culture line and viral detection in patient samples.



# **Respiratory Panel**



The FilmArray Respiratory Panel tests for a comprehensive panel of 20 respiratory viruses and bacteria. The FilmArray instrument integrates sample preparation, amplification, detection and analysis into one simple system that requires 2 minutes of hands-on time and has a total run time of about 1 hour.

- Simple: 2 minutes of hands-on time
- Easy: No precise measuring or pipetting required
- Fast: Turnaround time of about 1 hour
- Comprehensive: 20 target respiratory panel

Download Product Sheet

### Viral Targets

Adenovirus	Influenza A/H1
Coronavirus HKU1	Influenza A/H3
Coronavirus NL63	Influenza A/H1-2009
Coronavirus 229E	Influenza B
Coronavirus OC43	Parainfluenza Virus 1
Human Metapneumovirus	Parainfluenza Virus 2
Human	Parainfluenza Virus 3
Rhinovirus/Enterovirus	Parainfluenza Virus 4
Influenza A	Respiratory Syncytial Virus

FDA-Cleared

#### **Bacterial Targets**

Bordetella pertussis Chlamydophila pneumoniae Mycoplasma pneumoniae



### MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY UPDATE









# **Gastrointestinal Panel**

K		6	2	20	T
	-		ł	$\sim$	
	1			1	





### Luminex Assay

#### GPPNL Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel

#### Reported as: GASTRO PATHOGEN PNL

Also known as: Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel by PCR, Viruses: Adenovirus 40/41, Rotavirus A, Norovirus (GI/GII includes Sydney 2012), Bacteria and bacterial toxins: Escherichia coli (E. coli) 0157, Enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC) LT/ST, Salmonella spp, Shigella spp (S. boydii, S. sonnei, S. flexneri and S. dysenteriae), Campylobacter spp (C. jejuni, C. coli and C. lari only), Shiga-like Toxin producing E. coli (STEC) stx 1/stx 2, Vibrio cholerae, , Parasites: Giardia (G. lamblia only), Entamoeba histolytica, Cryptosporidium spp. (C. parvum and C. hominis only).

#### Specimen Requirements

Patient Preparation: Stool specimens must not be collected after administration of barium, bismuth or oil.

Collect: Stool in sterile container or in C&S (Cary-Blair) media

Transport: Do not freeze.

5.0 mL (min: 1.0 mL) refrigerated

Unacceptable Conditions: Frozen.

Leaking container. Non-sterile container. Specimen not received in appropriate transport media. Rectal swabs.

Stability: Ambient: 8 Hours / Refrigerated: 2 Days / Frozen: 2 Weeks (to be Frozen at ACL core lab only - for long term storage)

#### **Ordering Instructions**

Order Remarks: This assay is FDA approved for use with unpreserved raw stool specimens and Carry-Blair media. Other type of collection media are not validated and will be rejected.

#### **Clinical Significance**

#### Click here for more information

#### Lab Notes

Frozen unpreserved stool will limit any additional culture and parasitology type testing due to stability. Stool specimens must not be collected after administration of barium, bismuth or oil. Throat swabs, vomitus and other stool transport devices will be rejected. Not suitable for test of cure on previously positive patient.

#### Test Performance

Performed: Weekdays

Reporting Time: Final within 3 Days

Performing Labs: ACL IL Central Laboratory - Rosemont, EMR/Interfaced Flagged "Client" Orderable test

#### CPT Codes

87507







# **Blood Culture Identification Panel**







# **Blood Culture Identification Panel**

- Syndromic Panel Vs. MALDI-TOF
- Remote sites Vs. Non Remote sites

 $_{\odot}$  Considering molecular rapid ID methods for remote sites





# In Summary





## **Outstanding Issues**

### Validation/verification of syndromic panel assays

- $_{\rm O}$  Need to validate all pathogens detected
- Controls
  - ∘ IQCP?
  - $_{\circ}$  How often to run controls?
  - o Single positive or multiplexed controls?
  - o Prepare or purchase?
- How/what to charge for syndromic panels?

### Limit ordering of syndromic panels?

 $_{\odot}$  Influenza test Vs. whole syndromic panel

- Limit testing by season?
- Limit ME Panel to patients with abnormal protein, glucose and WBC counts?



## **Outstanding Issues**

### Training

- Training must be extensive and continuous if syndromic molecular panels are to be performed by non-Molecular trained technologists
- Tests are more complicated than they appear!
- Test setup <u>must include</u> proper precautions against contamination (including barrier and process precautions)
  - HSV-1 contamination example on Biofire
    - $_{\odot}$  Two HSV-1 consecutive positive CSF samples
      - Positive HSV-1 not consistent with patient symptoms and other labs.
        - HSV-1 would have not been ordered if not in the panel



## **Outstanding Issues**

### Think small!

- Amplicon contamination
  - Multiple glove changes
  - One sample at a time
  - Thoroughly clean after each sample with chemicals that denature nucleic acids
  - No multi-tasking when performing amplified molecular assays.
  - How do you know if you have amplicon contamination?
    - Keep track of your positive samples
      - Look for clusters
      - Ask the physicians if your results makes sense



# **Summary - What Has Worked for Us**

### Taking the time to assess the needs of our patients

- Infectious Disease physicians
- o Pharmacists
- ED physicians and others
  - Admit Vs. not to admit
    - $_{\circ}$  ME panel
      - Children Enterovirus positive send home
      - Adults HSV-1 positive Aggressive treatment
    - Respiratory Panel
      - Influenza Vs. others
        - Antivirals Vs. antibiotics
        - Immunosuppressed Vs. not immunosuppressed



# **Summary - What Has Worked for Us**

- Blood Culture Panels
  - Antibiotic stewardship
    - Right antibiotic, at the right time, for the right duration
    - Antibiotic De-escalation
    - Ensure that someone is listening, especially for stat syndromic panels
      - Close the "loop", labs do not function in a vacuum
- Tests must be clinically significant and cost effective
  - Most benefits will be "downstream"